

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,954	11/25/2003	Gary H. Knauf	561 18.P1/ C-3525.0	5893	
408	408 7590 08/30/2005			EXAMINER	
	NEELY & GRAHAM, P.	MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB			
P O BOX 1871 KNOXVILLE, TN 37901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	—— <u>-</u> -			
Supplemental		10/72	1,954	KNAUF, GARY H.	•			
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
•			er K. Michener	1762				
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence addre	ss			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this come reply specified above, the maximum si- vithin the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months rrm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. outlined to the service of the serv	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of th nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	unication.			
Status				,				
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on 08 August 20	005.					
	is action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of the first state of the f	Claim(s) 32-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 32-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pap	ers							
9)□ The spe	cification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The dra	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	nt may not request that any obje		•	• • •				
_				g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 ed Office Action or form PTO-	. • /			
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (F	TO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

This restriction requirement is supplemental to the one made by Examiner Eashoo on 5/12/2005:

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: wherein the first and second webs are the same width and wherein the first and second webs are different widths.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: wherein the first and second webs comprise the same substrate material or different substrate materials.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/721,954

Art Unit: 1762

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: wherein the first and second webs are coated with the same type of polymer and wherein the first and second webs are coated with different types of polymers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,954

Art Unit: 1762

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Franzini on 8/23/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays until 9/13; Mondays & Tuesdays thereafter.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer K Michener Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1762 August 28, 2005

Jkm